EXHIBIT 1

Case 1:17-md-02800-TWT Document 1134-2 Filed 06/09/20 Page 2 of 3 Case: 20-10249 Date Filed: 06/08/2020 Page: 1 of 2

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

	OR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT	
-	No. 20-10249-RR	
In Re: Equifax, Inc., Customer Da	ata Security Breach Litigation	
SHIYANG HUANG, THEODORE H. FRANK, DAVID R. WATKINS, MIKELL WEST, CHRISTOPHER ANDREWS, GEORGE W. COCHRAN, ALICE-MARIE FLOWERS,		
		Movants - Appellants,
BRIAN F. SPECTOR, JAMES MCGONNIGAL, RANDOLPH JEFFERSON CAR' ROBIN D. PORTER, WILLIAM R. PORTER, et al.,	Y, III,	
		Plaintiffs - Appellees,
	versus	
EQUIFAX INC., DOES 1 THROUGH 50, INCLUS EQUIFAX INFORMATION SER a foreign limited liability company EQUIFAX INFORMATION SOI DOES 1 THROUGH 10, et al.,	RVICES LLC, y,	
		Defendants - Appellees
_		
	n Appeal from the United States ourt for the Northern District of	

Case 1:17-md-02800-TWT Document 1134-2 Filed 06/09/20 Page 3 of 3 Case: 20-10249 Date Filed: 06/08/2020 Page: 2 of 2

BEFORE: WILLIAM PRYOR, Chief Judge, and ROSENBAUM and LAGOA, Circuit Judges.
BY THE COURT:

Appellants Theodore H. Frank and David R. Watkins' "Time-Sensitive Motion to Reconsider Denial of Rule 10(e)(2)(C) Motion" is DENIED.

In light of the district court's May 15, 2020 order (Doc. 1106), however, the Court clarifies that its May 7, 2020 order denying Appellants' "Motion for Relief under Fed. R. App. Proc. 10(e)(2)(C)" did not "reject[] [Appellants'] claim that the proposed final approval order was necessary for any issue on appeal." The Court takes no position on that issue at this time. Instead, Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 10(e)(2) does not apply because the material at issue was not omitted from the record "by error or accident."